

Under state policy valued nothing that should in the way of his doing. His wife Judge would do nothing against Long when he was in so great a station and for the
 was placed in 1615, and returned to his seat in Godwick or Godwick in the county of Norfolk. 1588 he was in his own Innocency, and rejoiced in his mis-
 which gale him long to level into him, self, and return all his writings. So that

An Account of some writers of the Law of England. 117.

1. William Noy a native of the county of Cornwall, (whom the Reader is sufficiently acquainted with in the English History) was
 the first was by the Law of the place of a great patriot in three parliaments of King Charles
 1634. He was a man exceeding humorous of a cynical rursury, a most indefatigable Searcher of Antient
 records, whereby he became an eminent Instrument both of Good and Ill to the Kings prerogative. He
 had a Roughness which made him unfit to flatter other men, yet that and his pride rendered him most
 liable to be flattered himself. By which means he was by the artificers brought to think that he could
 not give a greater proof of that his Skill in the Law was greater than all other men, than by making
 that Law which all other men believed not to be so. He wanted a deep knowledge of men, and left a
 very strange will behind him, being by some accounted a papist, if not an atheist. But strict
 Dispute had a better opinion of him, and said that he had lost a door friend of him, and the
 Church of England the greatest she had of his condition. Twas to him framed the project of Sup-
 -penny, Lachard Hist. of England vol. 2 pag. 112.

2. Sir Edward Coke the Oracle of the English was Attorney General to Queen Elizabeth, and Lord Chief-
 Justice of England under King James the first, which of the two eminent he had the same way he got to,
 which was by his Tongue: passion and pride was his predominant humours, he was far from
 being inglorious, and he was so excellent in imitating a disgrace that King James used to compare
 him to a cat, that when he was angry he would always curl up his tail. Finding a dead at court
 he not will fair weather in the country, where he so espoused the cause of the people, that in
 succeeding parliaments the prerogative of the King as its most noble and able opposer. He was
 an upright Judge and a close arguer. His usual saying was, in allor, he in a Lulls Room
 had given a quality, but he honourably answered, a Judge ought not to receive a bribe or a
 bribe. He died in the 83 year of his age shortly after Attorney General Noy anno 1634. Lachard
 Hist. of England vol. 1 pag. 943 & vol. 2 pag. 113. He was born at Mitham in Norfolk where his
 mother was buried, of him so judiciously by the first side, that she could not be soon oblique carried
 carried up to her bed, which stood in the Room about.

Born in Gloucestershire
 3. Sir Matthew Hale was admitted by Oliver Cromwell a Judge of the Court of Common
 Pleas in the year 1653 and by King Charles the second advanced to the station of Lord Chief
 Baron of the Court of Exchequer 7 November 1660, and to that of Lord Chief Justice of the
 Kings Bench 18 May 1671 wrote a large work intitled an History of the pleas of the
 crown. wherein he shows what the Law antiently was in these matters, what alterations
 had from time to time been made in it, and what it was at that day when he wrote. This
 consisting of two volumes in folio was published in the year 1736 with large notes by
 Solomon Emlyn of Lincolns Inn Esquire, after a Treatise in octavo intitled pleas of the
 crown written by the said Sir Matthew Hale for his own use in his circuits had been at
 first printed very imperfectly and incorrectly, and afterwards in the year 1716 revised
 and amended by the Editor. To which a second part was then added by Giles Jacob which
 is a continuation of the pleas of the Crown in the same method from the end of King
 Charles 1. Reign till the year 1716. The personhood for Matthew Hale who died 5 Decemb
 1676, was as eminent in his knowledge of the Law as he was in the Execution in the very highest
 post, which he managed with such Impartiality, that his friends and the great ones the
 they could not forbear to admire him, they could hardly love him, because on the Bench
 he had no regard to the one, nor respect to the other; but knowing that he sat there to do
 justice only, he held the Balance so even, that the others might complain unjustly, his
 conscience should not accuse him of wronging any, the meanest man.