

after, were sustained relevant to him for only an Abusive punishment being found proved, without any evidence of force brought against the party; the person loaded with such professed guilt, was fined in 25 Marks whereof 1200 Marks was ordered to be paid to the Dow and Children of the Decedate, and 200 Marks to the Fish & Fynd 2 April 1620 Mr John Montgomery.

By the law of England the Crime of Mayhem in Great Capital punishment is fixed upon the Statute of 23 Edw 2 cap. 1. But in order to fine and imprisonment and sum of Damages is fixed at Common law by Procurators Appeal, wherein the words felonie May hem or other Horsely, the the Crime is not Capital Coke 3 Inst. 118. But if this may here may occasion the particell death, whence it is called Homicidium Proculatum. In which Appeal the Plaintiff must appear in proper person and not by attorney. An Attorney cannot be made because the Defendant hath Right by Law to Demand that the Proces may be upon the part laid to be prov'd Sa 2 Cade 2 Inst 313 in order to have it adjudged on such View whether there be any May hem or Not. And albeit there can be but one Appeal of death against several persons who concurred in killing a Man, for that a man can die but once: yet where a person is maimed in one part of his Body by one, and in another part by another, he may have several Appeals of Mayhem Against the Respective offenders, Button de jure 16. 6. But an Appeal of Mayhem is now seldom brought: the Offender being to pay at this day for personal Maiming, being to pay action of trespass for an assault of Battroy and Maiming &c. In which the Court may award the Damages upon view of the Mayhem, and affidavits of Expenses &c. Pid. 108.

In Scotland Mutilation and Dismemberment are of all other injuries taken away by transaction 14 June 1637

1637 John Forbes or by habitation 1 Feb. 1650 James Alexander & John Baxter 14 June 1626 and contra Forbes 1620 July 1627 Duncan Woddie.

Mutilation and Dismemberment may be judged by the Lands of Justiciary, or by Justices of Regality or Clerks, but not by Baronies July 1635 from contra Gilmour and others.

The Crime of Mutilation cannot be tried till April and day after Commision of it 17 December 1623 John & Thomas Hartlieb 16 January 1640 Hamilton & Bramond Beccans, the Member may by a Stroke received be disabled or maimed his wife for a time, that is not Mutilation which is a proscriptive disability and time only can discover whether it is proscriptive or temporary. Gloucestre is not admissible in a libel of Mutilation, to lay the fact to have been committed upon one or other of the days of such a year as is equal in other Criminal libels. But to libel the precise day or the last it at the Bar 16 January 1640 James Hartlieb & Bramond. If a Mutilation be libelled as committed on one or other of the days of such a year as or one or other of the months of such a year: the trial cannot proceed till year end day after the last day of that year. The libel keeps within year and day may be filed in after Elapsing of that time. But a writ may be issued in after Elapsing of that time. But a writ for Dismemberment may go on without waiting for the Courts of Year and day after the Crime 27 Feb. 1618 George Miln alias Wilson Beccans No Recovery is Exposed, Unless the Corpores art of Galacteum for Restoring to, Unless the Corpores art of Galacteum for Restoring to, Nobt. Lach. Quash. Mrs. Leg. lib. 5 Art. 3 qu. 3 n. 16 of the

Effectually and extended to other parts of the Body. In a process of Mutilation and Dismemberment, Com- menced within Year and day, the survivor may escape from the Mutilation and Infest for the Dismembra- tion 17 December 1623 John & Thomas Hartlieb. One was put to the knowledge of an affidavit for Mutilation = 011