

persons indemnified. But yet where a Criminal process was raised concluding not only that the offenders should be punished in their persons and property, but also should pay a considerable sum in damages or damages and expenses to the private persons injured: then Lord Mansfield prescribes that of indemnity as being to the like the Rule in so far as concerns the punishment of the thief or the vindicta publica; but found the libel of the want to inflict a conclusion of Damages to the person 4 August 1709 Baptist Bow and others 14 November 1717 James Moffat. Remissions granted for Looting the Highland and Borders are effectual without an assentment 174 Parliament 13 Feb. Totall enim Pet Graham & another sine pace privatae factis ipsa laboris, quando Damnum laboris pro bono Republica, et peritio illud pro quod Multorum in falsis causata est. C. 5. Sect. 1. &c. Reg. 1711.

No Being束缚ed on a Commission of His Prerogative he had not to produce but offered to prove by the oaths and Declarations of the officers of State and Members of Court of Exchequer, that it was judicially prosecuted in Exchequer where it remains, at least the Clerks there of are accountable to him for it; he proved that a potential day might be a Writ issued for recovering the said Sumption, and Examining the persons above mentioned upon oath in the terms aforesaid. But it was affirmed that nothing could verify the Kings Commission or the tenor or conditions thereof except a subscribed signature under his Hand, 22 September, 1692 M. his Sheriff of Wigton.

By the Law of England, where any persons are convicted out of a General pardon by the parliament, the Court is not bound to give any person the Benefit of the same if he pleaded and proved, that he who pleads it is none of the persons Excepted. Hale pl. Cr. 252. Hawkings pl. Cr. 266 cap. 37. 560 and with us the Benefit of a General Indemnity hath been allowed to be pleaded for persons absolved by their Attorneys 23 January 1711 Gilbert Kennedy of Kirkcudl and his factor 15 January 1711 Robert Baird younger of Sandburn had

and his father albeit personal appearance is required in Criminal process by the Law of England the Court is so far bound to take Notice ex officio of a General pardon by Parliament which extends to all persons in general without exception, that it cannot proceed against any person in Law or in a suit to any of the offendred pardons, the Court is so far from proceeding it, or revoking the Benefit of it that he doth all he can to Wav it. A general pardon by Parliament cannot be Warded stamp'd. C. 8. 173 a. 1750. Coke 8. 234. Hawkins pl. Cr. 266 cap. 37. 561 because no one by his Attornies can give a Court a power to proceed against him when it appears there is no Law to punish him. but a man may have the Benefit of a pardon Under the Great seal as shewd one who calleth such a pardon null & not void it, but takes the General Pardon after which he shall not resort to the Warden stamp'd Rec. Reg. 24. 25. Hawkings pl. Cr. 266 cap. 37. 561. Where a General Act of Parliament creates a particular kind of Crime, shewd it no need to prove that the crime where of a person is indicted is not one of such Excepted Crimes; but the Court ought judicially to take off to whether it be Excepted or Not. Hawkings ibid. 562. And where a General Statute of Parliament Excepts only one particular person, it calleth him said though there is no need of an Assent that a person Indicted is not such a person; but the Court is to take Notice whether he be or Not. Hawkings ibid. 563. But in England he who pleads a particular pardon Under the Great seal ought to produce it at the Bar if he pleases, likewise he it be a plea in Bar, Hale pl. Cr. 252. Hawkings ibid. 565. Because it is presumed to be in his Custody, and the property of it belongs to him. The Plaintiff proves the Court upon his knowledge that it may be alonew. When a pardon is pleaded and alonew, the party whose Pleas to the Judges is a good due to them for it, but stands paid 84 a. Rec. Reg. 25. Hawkings ibid. 571.

Notwithstanding all felonies are general, and consequently a pardon of one Man cannot be a General Pardon of Another, yet in some cases the felony of one Man may be so far bounded upon that of another