

One's Interest. Which answers to Interpleader, Entregla
 dor, Interpleading in the law of England, from Entregla
 = tuer and p. Caidor to plead and to Cognitio prejudicialis
 in the Civil Law; that is the Disputing of a point for
 ed out by falling out before the principal cause can take
 an End. The Admission or receiving of third person to plead
 his right in cause Commenced between two other persons, is
 termed in England Rescay Receipts.

The Relevancy of Allegations and line in deus Communi
 Determined, before admitting any point to proof. Because
 for fra probatur quod probatum non licet to avoid People
 should not be put to the trouble and Expence to bring in Proof
 upon and other Evidence to no Manner of purpose; and
 out his should not be taken in vain. If the point pleaded is
 clear, the ordinary determines it forth with, and in case of
 Difficulty makes his Judgment there with to himself, or to the
 whole Court: i. e. he lets sometime to advise it himself, or to
 Consult the Lord upon it. When he makes his Judgment to
 himself, the Clerk writes under the Minute of the Court: Acci-
rdimus to the Lord himself. When it is to be Reported to
 the Lord, the Clerk writes under the Minute: Considimus
to the Lord. Vide supra pag. 1874. If the ordinary promises
 = an Interlocutor to the Defendant his faction of either party,
 he who thinks himself of the Fee, may Crave the Lord Answers
 i. e. that the ordinary would Report the cause to the whole
 Court, and get their opinion of it. If that be Refused, he the
 party may Crave it again upon offering an Amenda, i. e.
 a Crown to be fore feited to the poor King, if the Lord
 do here to the ordinary's Opinion: which is so called from
 the French Amenda signifying a Milt or fine. When
 the ordinary Refuses, not with standing such offer, to be
 port the point, the Lord Answers in any he got upon a
 Bill given in by the party, which is either Refused or ordain
 ed to be seen and Answered. And if the Lord Deliverance
 on the Bill Displeas, he has the privilege to Reclaim
 against it once and again Vide supra pag. 1874. Sometimes
 the party Displeas with the ordinary's Interlocutor,
 Shows out of Offence to his Lordship, to try an Alter
 ation the proof by putting a written Representation in
 his Lordship's Box, and procuring a new Calling of the

the cause before him when he hath Another Diet of Audience. And
 if the ordinary do not with standing all that is proposed, do
 here to his former Opinion, then to Crave the Lord Answers
 in Manner aforesaid; and in case of his Refusal to Reclaim by
 a Bill to the Lord, if the ordinary accept of the Amenda, the
 Clerk rolls up the Cause in a piece of Paper, in which he writes
 the cause it belongs to, and by whom given, and then Deliver
 it to the ordinary, and the cause goes to the Court. By the Law
 of England, when either of the Litigants shall do any part of
 the other's pleading in sufficient in Law, he may Demur there
 to, and defer the point of Law to the Judgment of the Court.
 If the Court think it Doubtfull, they will Allow of the Demur,
 and Crave the other party to join thereto. When the Demur is
 joined the case is made a Bench Cause, and the Court appoints
 a day for Arguing it by Counsel on both sides. If then of 100
 the way of Counsel, the Court is not clear to Decide, the
 cause may be adjourned into the Exchequer Chamber, to be
 argued there by all the Judges of England: And if the Major
 part of these cannot Agree thereto, it must be sent to the
 Parliament.

After the Dispute of the Relevancy is Concluded, proof
 of the Matters of fact Alleged by the parties for Interlocutor
 = dations. Some facts want not to be proved, Vide supra pag. 1874.
 Commonly known or Acknowledged to be true by the
 vicinity. As the Relation of Husband, wife, parent or Child,
 the State or Jurisdiction where he land are situated, &c.
 because Notorious, Alleganda Non probanda; and things
 Justly presumed. These are Justly Presumed by Writ pro
 duced: that to which the Defendant should Dispute both the Re
 levancy & proof at the same time, otherwise he will be pre
 sumed to be Acknowledged either of these against which he doth
 not object. Therefore the Defendant shal be held to Impugn
 the Relevancy, Add: Et si non Relevans, non est factum
is not sufficiently proved by the writs produced. A third
 sort of facts require a term for proving them: Its to which
 either party may require the Bath of Balmyn of the
 other, or his Advocate, either in writio lito, or at any
 time before Conclusion of the cause. If the party whose
 Bath of Balmyn is Craved, be present at the Bath, he may
 be Commaunded Instantly to give it. If he be Absent, the
 party the other party will get a Diligence to Cite him.
 To