

1881.

7^o Testimonies do and do Allege, or do And do Andvite, or do Andvite
do Andvite that is, where the witness gives for the reason of
his Knowledge, that he heared the Matter by Relation of others
prove not, Proff. Farin v. Bid. Draft 69 n. 2 & legg. Starl. 6. 9
Act. 93 § 15. But if the thing to be proved fall under the first
of bearing, al fma. Glanv. a Witness do Almory that he
heared it is good, tho he cannot be positive who were the Re-
porters, Starl. bid. Witnesst do. whom Andvite who bear upon
things that fall under the scope of bearing, at that a Man has
such things tho behind a Wall or Curtains, of the witnesst know
his voice to sufficiently prove, Proff. Farin v. Bid. n. 148 & legg.
n. 175 & legg. 8^o Ceteris paribus, more Regard is had to those who
upon depositing in favour of the Defendant, than to those who
proe in favour of the pursuer, Proff. Farin v. Bid. Draft 6. 5. 1
1652 & legg. 156. 9^o Deed witness do prove more strongly than
those who are living Proff. Farin v. Do. Estab. 2. 1. 6. 5. Glan-
v. lib. 4 Art. 20 & 20

10^o All witness who forswore only upon his Oath, City is not proper
a witness nor to be creditid Proff. Farin v. Bid. Draft 68 h. 6
& legg. Unless he give proue namely Reason why he believed so,
as that one was for to suech a Man & Woman because he
had bin born in their Country; or that a Man and a Woman had
Committed Adultery, because he saw them in cum Sunday
solum cum sole lying in bed together.

Both parties having plended what they had to say
on the Trial once in the prepared stales, the Lord Prose-
tates Objections and Obstacles, and give sentence. The two par-
ties have not proved all the points litigie controled, Yet the Lord
sometimes al deposing find as much proved as may serve
their intention. When there is semiplegia probatio. See off. 100
they will for making it full in order to be avay, take the
Oath of either party in Supplement: Or if some point to be
not clear, they will ordain farther proof to be had by both
or either party as they see cause. If the Defendant failing in
ving a Remedy exception, he will be Desereted for al
that is proved in the Libel: because the Defendant by pro-
ving a Remedy, is Underflood to have Compeled the
Libel; Unless he propone it, denying always the Libel
General Rule are, Autem probante the pursuer proving
Libel or Scepty, Reit Condonato the Defendant. If the pursuer
before now probated, the pursuer not proving, or do
probante the Defendant proving, Reit Abjactio. Before

Defendant is affoited or acquitted by Justice C. da Edwards
If the purfuer be not ready at the calling of any cause in
the Juste booke Robt, blant, &c, as the Defend. do before him and they
see fit, either score out the cause to be Imrotted or allow in form
am formy or proceed to the cause as accorde: Against the De-
termination in which proceeding, the purfuer will not be hear-
ed, without payeing 20^o the Kings Sterling to the other party. If
the Defendant be not ready, an Act or Decree or other Inter-
locutor, as the purfuer Craves, and the Lord do justly rule it pro-
nounced, and not Recalled, but upon payement of the like sum
of 20 shillings to the two parts party of Bid. 20 Novem. 6. 1711
55. If Both parties infist and Debate, the Lord call the wife
and Determine the cause instantely; or for further Debating
points therin, ordain both parties to Inform and againt a bo're
day, and to give in their Informations the next proceed-
ing the Report, and then Interlocutor is herein pronounced.
Against which any of the parties finding himself aggrieved
thereby, or by any part thereof may apply by petition for a
Rectification. When any cause is called, and the Debate begun,
no other cause must be called till that be ended. This if a
cause hath been called, and after being called continued till
some thing be produced or done, which requires no man Extracted
till the same is to be again called after the day to which it is De-
layed, Act of Tudor, 1 feb. 1715 junct. Act of Augst. 1672 Concerning
the Juste art. 9. But a debate on Saturday in any cause
proper for that day once begun, may be prosecuted and finished
on any sabbath day. If these happen an Interlocutor Debale to wa-
iting in the ordinary action day or in the Presidents hand hold,
and another in a cause in the sabbath day, both which want
to be Carried on the next day not being saturday, the Debate
first Commenced must be first ended, and after that is over
the other Debate pursued before any other cause is proceeded
Act of Tudor, 1 feb. 1715. When the Lord at the Conclusion of
any cause, find the Conqueror party to have been Calumnious
or litigious, they take in an Account upon oath from the
party prevailing, of the Costs of suit, and Decree for the
same against the person who is cast in the suit, of Moderate
and probable, or less and Modify the expences, if Extraordinary
Act of Augst. 1695 Art. 23, the Large Expences are made
pay to parties wronged by Calumnious Allegations. Act
of Proff. 20 Novemb. 1711 § 14. If a person who hat cast
his Name to a Calumnious gift and receipt, was fined