

Discord Brother or sister Uncle or Aunt Nephew or Niece, cannot bear witness for the party to whom they stand related. But they are not Exempted from giving, if required, testimony against one another; Slav ibid. A person who was a Subscribing witness to a Disposition granted in favour of his Nephew quarrelled ex parte ^{with} his wife, and he refused from Testifying for the Nephew that the Disposition was granted in Liege, pnd the 19 June 1713. *Curios of Boston contra Hamilton of Daniel Prosp. Garin. n. 24. Ques. 54. n. 32.* is of opinion that those Friends to bear witness for parties by Reason of affinity, may be received when the tie of affinity which was the cause of the Dispossession is Dissolved by death. But yet worth as above they in law cannot be witnesses for him whose brother or married, or who was Married to his sister, the like brother died 15 January 1679 Brown contra Town of Kirkcudbright because affinity doth not cease by the death of the wife. But Brother in law by marrying this sister, may bear witness for one another, that being only affinitie annulled Slav ibid. 39 ver. Grantly. *Confin. Gormand are Invalable witnesses for one another upon the account of propinquity of blood* 15 Jan. 1679 Brown contra Town of Kirkcudbright McKenzie serv. on ad 18 Part 23. § 6 Crim. part 2. qd. 17. 54 if more indifferent witnesses are at hand, or Competent Evidence is given that they may be had Slav lib. 4 Tit. 43. 59 ver. Grantly. In France the Testimony of Relations and Allies of the parties even down to the Children of second Confin inclusively is Required in Civil matters whether it be for or against them. *les Lois Civiles &c. Tom. 1 part 1 Liv. 3. Tit. 6. fact. 3. Art. 20.* Near Affinity or Consanguinity is no objection against a witness if he be Equally near or allied to both parties. *Prosp. Garin. de Justit. et Quest. 54. n. 45. 46. 116. 205.* And tho' those of too near Consanguinity or affinity can not bear witness for their kindred or allied, they may be Received witnesses against them, *Prosp. Garin. ibid. 179.* Husband or wife, father or son being Excepted. *Supra Quæst. 18.* *Objection of unlawful Relation by Bastardy,* be a good objection against a witness? By the Civil law leg 93. § 8 grad. 2. *Affins* and in the opinion of the Doctor Prosp. Garin. ibid. n. 73. 74 Unlawfull affinity is no just objection.

Objection against a witness. for the Lord of Justice admitted a witness within the Degrees of Blood, seeing a Bastard whom the law Reputed to be procreated ab inchoate patre doth not make Consanguinity; albeit it was pleaded that the being of a bastard took not away the ground and Reason of affinity. *24. No. vemb. 1624. L. Bonning town contra Crawford.* And the Lord of Justiciary once found that a man might bear witness for his wifes Natural father 21 feb. 1676 *Tyroneus McLennan's case.* And in another case they Refused to sustaine a Bastards son as witness for his natural father 15 Decemb. 1690 *James Douglas & Brown.* And in the opinion of the Doctors the Unlawfull affinity doth not Bar a witness, yet Unlawfull Consanguinity is a just objection, so as a Bastard cannot be Received witness for his Natural father *Prosp. Garin. ibid. n. 157.*

*of the Evidence of persons who have a Dependence on the party who would make use of their Testimony is before Dr. King Robert's Statute (ad Cap. 34) Incapacitated Servants to be witnesses. And so does the Civil Law (ad 3. 2. de Genibus l. 24. § 11. 51. f. 80) provide that by Custom *Personal servants are capable witnesses against their Masters,* so not for them *Prosp. Garin. de Just. et Quest. 65. n. 97.* *McKenzie Grin. part 2. Tit. 26. § 8.* Because suspected to favour the side of their Master, and to Declare only what he Desires, servants are capable witnesses for their fellow Domesticks in friendship with them and may witness in favour of their former Master being out of his service at the time, unless he had sent him away with a Decretal Viceroy to use them as witnesses, *Prosp. Garin. ibid. n. 32. 33. 34. 145. 150.* *Slav lib. 4 Tit. 43. 59. 205. Sec. 6.* Sir George M'lenzie (ibid) Relines to think that a servant Dismissed since the Extraction to Depose, cannot be a witness for his former Master. But yet a person was admitted to bear witness for one whoe servitude left not till after he was Called to Depose 4 feb. 1709 L. Caldrof contra Hamilton of Pumpherston. *Movable* genants, i.e. free and poore without standing, tenants are not Competent witnesses for their Master Slav ibid. *Vox levantis.* That is, Movable tenants in Country long by Labouring whereof they live and subsist. For a Movable tenant in a Dwelling house in a burgh Royal 11 June 1623 *Watson contra Grinlans* or in a Country Towne 26 July 1712 *Corbis contra McLaws pot wood Thal.* *q. 6. Probation by witness**