

arranted the party to pay Labely to the party preferred, the Decret should be therefore Redacted, and this other preferred, that other party cannot trouble the party formerly in posse, but must decour upon him formerly preferred art 3. & 9. June. Art 19. Parl. 10. & 6. Star. lib. 4. fol. 1653. M. Lenzea. Gen. on d. Art 3. And the ordinary a minor whose tutor or raters suffer a Decret to pass against him for his appearance shall a double remedy viz. either to Reduce the sentence, or pursue his tutor or curators for damage and Intercosts. in Supra page 156. Yet if one who is major be preferred in a suit proceeding to a minor having tutors or curators because of the minors not appearing in the process, the minor by action of Damages against his tutors and curators, can recover the Decret of Preference; but if such a minor has no tutor or curators he may be sued in integrum upon the law d. Art 3. That is, he may recover payment bona fide to the person preferred in a multiplicity of complices, but also against their assise next 29. Feb. 1676 West contra E. Callender. If a Decret of preference is made in pointing pursued in a cause of the Complainants be quarrable for an error in the execution or if his party absent can show a suspicous reason for his absence, the Decret may be quarrable either by Major or Minor d. art 3. It is ibid. But the our Custom doth not ordinarily require reduction of a Decret in Absence, but a removal to be taken away or annulled by a suspension: Yet a person called and his appearing in a multiplicity point they cannot be responsible or find relief against a Decret in Absence to his propriety by way of suspension, but only by way of Redaction on 1. Feb. 1670. Wilson contra Syme. No. 3. of the Decret is Redacted, the person formerly preferred fact fructus of sumptus suo d. art 3. M. Lenzea ibid. But he who prayd by virtue of such a decret before Redaction was Committit can never be called in question; Nam illud Redacta est ad hunc provertit habeatur. 39. A third sort of Actions of a Declaratory Nature, are Actions Cognitiorum causa against apparent heirs: Which do not conclude payment or anything to be done by the Defendants, but only declare what debts were due by their Decedate predecester, that may affect their heritate or Monies left. In which Actions Apparent Heirs without being charged to inter their or

1694.  
nearest of kin are called: Because, tho they have not Entred nor owned the succession, yet they may do it thereafter. Upon which account they may object against the present title produced at Justice, but can propose no exception which, if sustained, would infer a graver title, because they have no sufficient title to make title Contested. Star. lib. 4. fol. 19. § 3.

Sometimes also where a right arising from a special matter of fact is claimed to be found and declared, for which no action having a special name doth lie, action of Declaration is raised. Which happens to be in factum or actio praescripti Verbi in the Civil law, so also, because having no certain formula or style, they were naturally conceived in words adapted to the subject, & did not preserve the 2d. of praescript. Verbi i. 6. q. De tractat. Which are in England turned very properly <sup>according to the custom and usage of the nation</sup> actions on the case. Again, Declarations of Declaration are adjusted to other Actions, as to Reduction &c.

## Sect. 2. Of Descriptive Actions.

Descriptive Actions are those whereby persons aggrieved by some acts or deeds to which they were parties have power of suit, or restoration of things to their first Estate for a just Punishment. They may be in the same condition they were in before such acts or deeds. This Restitution, when it is called in Redacting a Decret, because used as thereby Redacted, and performed in redressing Restored to the same condition in which they were before the Execution of the said acts or deeds. Because chymnus and warden Summers journey to Cornell the Regent of Brit. 6. 15. and for redressing such as found themselves greatly injured without any fault of theirs, the Extraordinary remedy of Restitution in Prolego or failed Relief was introduced among the Romans in some favourable case, and was granted causa cognita by the Prince, or by one of their greater Magistrates to persons wronged by their servants or by the sentence of some Superior Judge, or by Contract or Dede. Such relief was obtained either by way of Action or Exception. By the usage in France, one cannot prosecute an Act or deed to be annulled to which he has been a party without procuring Redact from the Prince, in order to obtain a Redaction of the Act and Restoration of things to their first estate, et alia Civilis &c. Rom. 1. part 1. Liv. 4. fol. 6. Sect. 1. But notwithstanding Redaction of Act doth not restoration of things to their first estate (which in reality is right) the same things must at all other causes be heard and tried by the established judges in an action of Redaction. So in some cases