

Clients or their Agents that they points intended in are true
and if they think the Information given them to be true and
125 Part 9. I. A general oath of Calumny cannot be kept
upon his own Accout facts, but only upon his Accout facts
where the Actor might have forged Part of his Accout & Jarmar
1692. But such an Oath upon his own Accout facts, shall
not be true, except when it is true. Peers are not bound to give
de Calumnia but only to Answer upon their Honour of
1711. E. Winton sc. An oath of Calumny given by one party
the prejudice of the other, doth not determine the Matter of
as to Times that other to prove otherwise. This Appear
was allowed to C. Marvell the Relevancy of a Defence, after
Requiring and Getting the Defendants oath of Calumny upon
Verity thereof 13 June 1712 at Gray's Inn & for Husband contra Nav
for a Party may crave the other's Oath of Calumny which is
designed to obviate the plea of Wanton bring into the Dispute
of Relevancy. But the only effect of such an Oath of Calumny
is to give Liberty to the Opponent to insist in his allegation
as if his oath had not been Required. Yet an Advocate Deploring
in the Soul of his Client that he was informed of
truth of what he pleaded, doth not hinder his Client to be
told to swear de Calumnia, whether he believes it to be
true. Law lib. 4 Gal. 4 18. For the it Sufficient, that the
counsel believe on their Client's Information, the clients
must believe on a Sure Bottom. One depones that he doth not
believe the Allegation made by himself to be true, or he is
as Confessed for refusing to swear, he cannot thereafter
insist upon his Right but a Refusal to Depose is sufficient
to prove from the pursuer being Confused of the truth
thereof. Oaths of Calumny are alio in England just to Common
laws in the Ecclesiastical Court and Court of Admiralty,
whenever it is insisted on by the parties, who may either make
by issuing of a Cause, or at any time afterward, demand that
their adverse party may be obliged to take this oath in order to
Clear themselves from all Suspicion of carrying on the
suit out of a spirit of Vexation and Contradiction blashed Pro
is in Cor. Eccles. Gal. 15. Praevis Cor. Dmng. Ang. Gal. 42. But
an oath of Calumny taken at the beginning of a suit by the
plaintiff and Defendant and their Associates, that doth not
out of Malice or for the sake of Cavilling that they carry on
the suit but that they do upon their cause to be most and
well grounded if not in use in France, where it is done. Only
a Sure assurance of perjury, les Loix Ecclés. & Cor. part 1

Liv. 3 Gal. 5 infra Gal. 6 sec. 6 pp. 3d. Infra pag. 1896-1897.

Confession is an acknowledgment made by the principal party that the debt demanded or allegation with which she is charged is true. Confession is either judicial or extrajudicial.
The whole Confession or that made in Judgement, accepted by any
having Interest, makes sufficient faith against the Confessor in all
things. It is the most sure and infallible Evidence of all that
being Witnessed may be Corrupted, and words may be forged, where
as it is not Imaginable that a Man will Declare falsely to his
own People, i.e. if it is as Stated as a Sentence for the Party as is
it now Condemned by his own Decree lib. 1. of 2d. Com. he
breaks the Maxim of Law, that in Confession no two parts
accord, nisi. Confessing such Confession before the Lord of Session
needs not to be published: But if made before an Inferior Court,
must be signed by the party or if he cannot write, by the Judge
for the affirmer of the Clerk or his Minuteman in the process not
Authorised with the parties Subscription, is not sufficient to
form a decree of an Inferior Judge alone. Except the Defendant
be told as Confessed after he was cited to appear to give
his oath of Verity before the Judge upon the Label & Claim he
fined to his oath, and the term Circumduced, 17 June 1629
Clark contra Brown. This confession is called expressio testis
Fact Confession is the holding a party as Confessed. Which is
done in two Cases viz. 1st Defense or Cited personally not compre
hending or Refusing to Depose about what is referred to his oath
is held as Confessed upon the Verity thereof upon this presumption
of law, that he will not swear because he is Confused of
the truth of what is put to him, which is a presumptive from
Confessing, seeing the Label Brown like Testidur. This is agreeable
to the ordinances of France, whereby Parties are obliged at the
Mutual Request of each other, to answer upon oath the facts
which are admitted as pertinent to the cause depending. See
Loix Ecclés. & Cor. part 1. Liv. 3 Gal. 5. Etat & Art. 56. He
like practice is observed in all the Ecclesiastical Courts, and
in the High Court of Admiralty of England, Clarke praesid
in Cor. Eccles. Gal. 15. & Cor. Adm. Ang. Gal. 18. 22.
But there is no such thing in the Courts of Common law
in England: because that law allows not of Evidence by oath
of party. One purposed in a forthcoming hearing deponed that
he did not remember what he was owing to the Plaintiff
debtor, was held as Confessed upon the pursuer's behalf, because
he ought to have informed himself and to have been pos
sitive in a present full of his own. For if parties were al
lowed in such case to swear Non Memorandum were safe for
them.