

from being further liable than to a limited Extent. And the Distance however preferable, can support a Claim beyond that proportion of the Inventory, which would fall to the user of the Estate, upon a just Division among all the Creditors, forasmuch as an Heir Entred cum beneficio Inventarii might stop an execution Praised against the estate by offering payment of the debt in proportion with the other Creditors; at least the same believed to be a Reservation contra Executionem in the decree of adjudication, so as in executione i.e. in the Division of the estate amongst the Creditors, the Assignee could only draw his proportionable share of the Inventory. 2<sup>d</sup> Arrestment is not in this case a habeile Diligence more than in Execution because the Heir had receipt to enter to his predecessor cum beneficio Inventarii, as he is in Executry and Movables, & No Diligency by Arrestment whether of the subject of the instrument, or of the Executors proper effects, can any way affect a preference, or make that debt, which in a prosecution against the Executor in a competition with the other Creditors, would effectually have but a half or third, extend even to the whole debt. 3<sup>d</sup> If Creditors were in this case to be preferred according to Diligence, the Heir would have it his power to prefer the Creditors as he thought fit, by recovering the effects to some and then calling them from him by giving timely Notice in order to use the first Diligence, and many other artifices of the like kind. It was intended for the Amelioration of Law Introducing the Benefit of Inventory in heretage, to let not up Creditors from owing Diligence more than when the heir Enters without Inventory, is so far from Diminishing their Claims ipso jure, that they stand equally good against the Heir as against the Successors. Since the Heir, if he neglecting or over looking the privilege pay to any Creditor his whole debt the debt far exceed the Inventory, will have no Right to his debt. Yet is always Competent to one paying more than he owes. The Right belonging to the Heir, which as other privileges may be used or not at pleasure, is not Competent so long as he had any share of the Inventory unaccounted for remaining with him, but can only be claimed after the Inventory is exhausted by payments made to Creditors that he has no further liable, so that he hath no Relevant cause against an execution Praised, unless he can prove that he hath already paid up the whole Subject of the

Inventory to preferable Creditors, or such as had at least Executed a Robust Realis upon the Subject of the Actions against an Executor one Creditor may get the better of another by the forwardness of his Diligence, for since Executry cannot be arrested because the Executor as a Common trustee is obliged to administer and do Diligence for the benefit of all having claims upon the Subject of Executry, and is not liable a deduction to any Diligence till he be personally concerned upon his being had Intromission with the Executry, in which case the Decree may be put to Execution in every Shape against him and his goods. Whereas as an Heir cum beneficio is not a trustee, but a proper debtor liable to all manner of Execution real and personal to the extent of the value of the estate in the Inventory. 5<sup>d</sup> Creditors of age in the world Situation with respect to the Heir of the Debtor, then they are with respect to the Debtor himself every man leaving him in his power those or less to favour his peculiar Creditors. But if any Creditor can prove that his Creditor by Policy with or Devil of the Debtor obtained the first Diligence, that would be the Event to hinder such a favorite creditor's preference, which is at the legal rate given any Creditor can have from the Nature of the thing. The Lord found that in this case the Creditors are preferable according to the diligence done on their respective debts, A Treaty 1724 set out between Sir Alexander Burnell of Leycester heir Entred cum beneficio Inventarii having got effects from some Creditors of their debts which exchequited the whole estate of the Common Debtor, and thereby saved part of it free to himself. The Heir was found obliged to communicate the Benefit of such cases to other Creditors, and to answer to them for the surplus of the Inventory over and above what he had truly paid, or given to the former in satisfaction of their debts. Because, as Diligence is upon ones estate required by his apparent Heir are Redemnable by the Creditors for the sums truly paid. A Rule therefore should be that cum beneficio be allowed to Clos themselves with singular titles affecting their estate to the Exclusion of other Creditors. For Heirs Entred cum beneficio Inventarii being best able to Discover the condition of the debts and the objection therby against them would other ways have the fairest opportunity to maintain Execution suits against the Creditors, and by parcels