

Nor is a ship in salt water from which a man falls and is drowned forefited: Because Law Imputes such Misfortunes happening at sea to the perils thereof rather than to the ship. Nor is a horse on which a man riding over a River is drowned thro' the Violence of the Stream, forefited, because not that, but the Waters caused his death. But no thing can be forefited as a Deodand nor seized as such, till it be found by the Coroner's Inquest to have caused a Mans death. See 3 Inst. 54. 55. Stams. Pl. c. 20. Pullon de pace 124 Hale Pl. Cr. 33. Hankens 100 lib. 1 Ch. 26.

This far how property Real and Personal may be transmitted Progress to singular Successors, by Voluntary deeds of Alienation, or by the Legal Diligence of Creditors, or may be forfeited. But because frequently happens, that the Author is not true and Absolute proprietor of what he so alienates, or what his Creditors affect, as the first Creditor, but hath only a right in trust for the behoof of some other, or hath qualified his own right by a back bond, or Personal Declaration. It is proper here to treat concerning trust.

Chap. 2. Of Trust.

Trust in the Vulgar Acceptation, Comprehends all persons Obligations for paying Delivering or performing any thing, where the Creditor follows the faith of his debtor. In which sense it is taken in the title of the Corpus Juris Civilis de Rebus Creditis. But trust properly so called, is the Stating a thing or right for some End, in the possession of one so far as that it can hardly be recovered from him, unless he be faithfull and answer the Confidence Reposed in him, by doing what is Committed to him, or Disposing thereof as the Trustee Desires.

A Trustee is understood in Law, to act for the behoof of his Constituant, in Relation to the Subject of the trust. Thus one to whose Lands were Disposed in trust, having Composed and transacted the Disposed debts, was obliged to allow him the Benefit of Cases got from the Creditors, and to claim only what he truly paid, albeit he was not Expressly intrusted to Compose and pay these debts 158 vemb. 1667. Maxwell contra Maxwell Inhibition used by an assigney (whose Assignation was affected with a Reserve faevilly the Rent to alter) was found to accrue to a posterior assigney, at Rejection of the first assignation, if it was. Reduec ex Capite de 20, 23 July 1713 Duncan contra Millers. Because the Reserve power to alter, made the first assigney's right to be of the nature of a factory or trust for the behoof of the Beneficiary arising from

a transaction made with a trustee, was found to accrue to the person for whose behoof the trust was granted 24 June 1712. Wright and Lintoch contra Wright. A person having accepted a factory from another bearing power to Manage the Courts of lands, and to submit (without Compose and agree all pleas and differences thereunto) without prejudice to the said factor of any Acquisition of the said lands made as to be made by him self on his own Industry pains and expence, before, during, or after the factory. It was found, that any rights of the said lands required by the said person to that Clause, viz. that his acceptance should not be prejudicial to his bygone or future Acquisitions, must be Effectual only as a security to him for the sum principal annual rents expences and Interest there of Disburst by him in purchasing those rights during the factory; and that upon the Constituant's making payment thereof deducting the said Disbursements, he the factor should be obliged to Deliver up the same into Receipt to him the benefit of the said lands without prejudice to rights in the factors person prior to the factory 16 June 1710 Murray contra Murray. The Remedy of a Citation per payment to the Disposed Creditors, was not enforced to prefer any to others who had done a more timely Diligence by Inhibition and Apprising against the Common debtor, but only to pay them what Claims made inward into their preferances: whose Diligence he sought and should have known by searching the Registers for Publication of Rights. Seeing the trustee could no more prefer the Trustee's Creditors, than the Trustee himself could do; albeit no Diligence was served against the trustee to put him in mala fide. 24 July 1669 Crawford contra Jurew. And a purchaser of lands, who had obliged himself to pay a certain number of the Sellers debts to the extent of the price of the Lands conform to a list given up to him; was bound in mala fide to pay by one of these debts, and to apply the whole payment to the best, albeit these other debts did Exhaust the price; and obliged to have paid all the Debts in the said list proportionally, tho' no Diligence had been used for any of them 20 July 1714 Blair of that ilk contra Grahame of Balgownie. For every Creditor in the list had Jus Quasitum by the obligation aforesaid, tho' granted to their Debtor without their knowledge. Bonds or personal rights taken by a trustee in his own name for the price of the trustees goods sold by him, are not necessary to be Confirmed as in bonis of the Trustee, but belong to the Trustee 9 June 1669 Street contra Rume and Burne. And the price of Victual sold by an Executors Regorium Gestor, payable by Contract to the Seller or his order, was found not to be