

to graze a Horse committed to his Care, whom the Owner appointed to be kept at Head Mead, was found liable for the Price of the Horse, In respect the Horse while he was grazing fell over a Rock and broke his Neck; and the Order to keep the Horse within at Head Mead was found probable by Witness, seeing it was Part of the Bargain between the Master and the Owner of the Horse 29 January 1667 Scot contra gib.

The Thing deposited must be restored when the Depositor thinks fit to call for it L. i. §. 22. f. Depos. Albert it was agreed, that the Depositary should keep it for a certain Time d. l. i. §. 45 & 46. c. ii. C. ed. This contract being made in Favour of the Depositor, and no Prejudice accruing to the Depositary from a more sudden Redemand, which only easeth him of the burden of custody. But on the other Hand the Depositary cannot against the Depositor's Will rid himself of the Trust committed to him before the agreed Time is expired without a just cause L. 5. §. 2. f. Depos. After the Thing deposited continues to be the Depositor's without any Right thereto transmitted to the Depositary L. i. §. 5. f. Depositi. L. g. f. de rei and: By whom it is to be kept one not used. For the Depositary where it is guilty of Theft §. 6. f. de oblig. quia ad. nasc. Wherein ~~the~~ it differs from Statuum and Commendation. It must be kept gratis, for the giving Fee or Reward to the Depositary makes this contract degenerate into Hiring and Letting to Hire, where the Depositary wholly let out his care. The Thing deposited must be restored with the Produce i.e. The Fruits and Profits. A Depositary of perishable Commodities as Salt and other Materials for Fishing, having disposed of them for his own Use, in respect the Owners did not call for them in due Time, and Redelivery of those goods being required afterwards, when the Value of them was raised to a great Height by Reason of the Union between Scotland and England, the Depositary in unavoidable Intrusion with them was sustained to make him liable not for the Price they might have been sold at at the time when they were deposited, but for the Price of such goods at the time of the Requisition 19 January 1755 Watson of Muirhouse contra M'henry of Applecroft. He who has undertaken the Charge of a Stock of Sheep, must restore the Wool and the Lambs which they produce. Where a Cabinet or Coffer is sealed up under Lock and Key, the Depositary is bound to restore it in the same Condition sealed or locked as he received it: And is answerable for every particular contained therein, whether these were shewn to him or not L. i. §. 45. f. Depositi. And because of the exuberant Trust in this Contract, the Depositor is allowed to prove the Particulars wanting and their Value by his own Oath in Person secundum pretium affectionis L. i. §. 26. f. Depositi 3 January 1667 Chapman contra Brand. Star Lib. i. Art. 13. §. 10. So that M. Domat's Distinction (See Lex Civilis &c. Tom. i. Port. i. Liv. 5. Art. 7. Sect. 5. Art. 17.) betwixt the giving a Coffe sealed without letting the Depositary know what is in it, and the shewing him all ~~the~~ in the Particulars thereinc, as if in the former case he

he were bound only to restore the Coffe in the same Condition, without being accountable for the Things the Depositor may pretend to have put in it. Is not warranted by the Statute of the Civil Law (d. l. i. §. 45. f. Depositi) cited by him as his Teacher. For that determines the contrary, and makes the Depositary answerable for every Thing in the Coffe whether shewn to him or not. That learned has excepted only the opinion of Bratianus relates in that Statute, without taking Notice of its being therein overruled by the opinions of Labeo and Ulpian. And in civile et merciale semel legi prospecta, in aequo eius particulari proposta judicare vel respondere L. 2. f. De legib. depositum by the Nature of this contract is to be restored in the Place where it is kept, whether it was deposited there or not and the Depositary is not obliged to transport it in Order to deliver it, unless he has unlawfully removed it out of the place where he ought to have kept it L. 2. f. §. 5. f. Depositi. But the Depositary is bound to go and fetch it, and to meet the Charges of transporting it if any are necessary or to reimburse the Depositary if he has advanced the Money d. l. 12. pr. Th. Depositary recovers any necessary Charges paid out by him in keeping the Depositor. Arg. L. i. §. 5. f. Depositi. L. 13. §. 2. L. 59. f. de part. Marj. f. 3. g. 2. f. for Example he was obliged to hire a Stable for keeping a Horse left in his charge. Whether he was obliged to hire a Stable for keeping a Horse left in his charge care. But as he cannot deliver the Depositum with him, in consideration of other extrinsick Duties owing to him by the Depositor or Master of the Thing deposited, even after it were another Deposition. But he must first answer his Trust, and each Depositary is obliged to restore the Thing deposited with him or in his hands L. i. §. 5. f. Depositi L. v. 1. C. de compars. in fin.

That a Writ out of the Hand of the grantor was deposited upon Terms, is proved only by the Writ or Oath of the Person in whose Favour it is conceived and not by the Depositary's Oath: Who as a noted Keeper of the Strict Subject to what Law requires of such as have the Custody of what belongs to another, can never exonerate himself by his own Assertion. Because Delivery of a Writ out of the Grantor's Hand is presumed unless contradicted by the Writ or Oath of him whose Name is therein set up: And if a Depositary's Oath were sustained to prove the contrary, it were absolutely in his Power to make the Obligation subsist or not Star lib. §. 4. But that a Writ out of the Grantor's hand was never delivered but only deposited in a third Party's hand, was found possible by the Oaths of the Depositary and instrumentality Witnesses: In Respect the Writ was not produced by the Party to whom it was principally granted, but by another in whose Favour a Clause therein was conceived 5 July 1662. Drummond contra Campbell. The Terms of depositing are either Express or presumed. The express Terms are ever to be observed, and may be chiefly proved by the signed Writ of the Depositor and Depositary, which cannot be taken away by the Depositary's Oath 24 Feb. 1678 Cowan contra Ramsey. But the Terms, where they are not in Writing, may be proved by his Oath; the Depositor