

ding all Meal Flower Rice French Barley Knocked Barley and Mustard  
so it properly signifies such grain, as after tholing Fire is to be carried  
to an Mill; which agreed not to Malt brewes unless one could fancy, that  
Draff were to be grinded over again. Nor is tholing Fire and Water ex-  
-pected to be bought. Meal baked within the Thirl 24 Novemb. 1600 Ram-  
sey contra Town of Kirkaldy. An Astriction of ~~omnia~~ <sup>in</sup> vecta et illata  
was found to extend to all Corns kilned and coked, abbatuted in the  
Country and not grinded at any other Mill by the Persons thirld 2d  
March 1637 Cuthbert contra Town of Inverness. Thirlage of vecta et  
illata will be sufficiently proved by Custom, tho' not expressed in the in-  
stitution of Thirlage; unless that be special and particular in all things

~~as~~ <sup>as</sup> may be. F. 1. 388. 15. One and the same Grain ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> made in two  
sole for double Malture to the same Mill by distinct Thirlages. ~~omnia~~  
grana crescentia upon the Burrows Roads lying about the said Town  
to the West Mill thereof and all Malture brought within the ~~two~~  
~~two~~ Town et patens aquam et ignem. Holme Fire and Water being ad-  
stracted by a posterior Thirlage. The Inhabitants were bounden to pay  
the Malture of vecta et illata for Corn that grew in the ancient Thirl  
for which as grana crescentia Malture was also due, it became in 1637  
Ramsay contra Town of Kirkaldy. Because the Design of this  
Thirlage of vecta et illata after a constituted Thirlage of grana crescentia  
has certainly been to oblige the Inhabitants to buy grain where  
no Thirlage, and pay Malture for the same as vecta. As there would  
be Malture due for grain brought in out of another Thirl to the Mill here  
of one for the same as vecta et illata to the other Mill: So the Master  
of the said Mill might justly claim the Maltures of vecta qua talis  
that grew within his own Thirl, and paid Malture as grana crescentia  
otherwise the Thirlage of vecta et illata might be rendered elufory  
and ineffectual, by the Towns Men's serving themselves with Corn  
that grew within the other Thirl. But yet the Lands in the Barony/  
Kinross being fenc'd out by the ~~Proprietor~~ for a small Silver Rent an-  
dry Malture of ~~omnia~~ grana crescentia to the Mill of Kinross, and  
thereafter vecta et illata or so much of their Corns as should be im-  
puted and thole Fire and Water within the Barony of Kinross, also thirld  
It was found, that the grain lying within the Barony cannot be laid  
to the said Mill of the Barony both as grana crescentia and as vecta  
et illata; and having paid the dry Malture of grana crescentia are  
not liable to the other Duty of vecta et illata when ~~it~~ <sup>it</sup> is car-  
ried

when carried into the Burgh 17 January 1722 Steedman contra  
Homani Young. Because the rational Interpretation of thirling  
grana crescentia et vecta et illata, is that the Proprietor is bound  
not only to have the Corns growing upon his Land, but also those  
brought into his Town manufactured at his Mill, or which to him and the  
same, to pay a certain <sup>Duty</sup> with Liberty of being manufactured there, or  
any where else. But the same Corns could never be subject to both these  
Maltures: Since the Duty is the Price of the Manufactory and the  
same Corns cannot be twice manufactured at a Mill. To make reasonable  
to two Thirl Duties were to make them pay the Price of the same thing  
twice: For there are not here two distinct Services of vecta et illata  
and of omnia grana crescentia, but one and the same Service extending  
over different Subjects, viz. not only the Corns growing in the Barony  
but also my other Corns brought into the Burgh: and so the grana cres-  
centia when ever that Service is satisfied by paying of my Malture,  
have thereby as it were purgated their Freedoms and cannot be subject  
to the same Service over again.

The Privileges and Fees of Thirlage are generally regulated by  
Custom, in so far as they are not declared by Writ. Which Custom hath varie  
in different Places and at different Times. There obtains a Custom in  
France that where a Horse is observed carrying Corns out of the Thirl,  
the Miller may ~~seize~~ seize the Sack with the Corn and adjudicte it to the  
Master of the Mill letting the Horse go. And by an Edict of Louis  
our <sup>le</sup> William 3. (Cap. 9.) the Master may claim the Horse and  
the Miller the Sack with the Corn. But this is not in Observation now  
Faud-Lib. 2. Tit. 9. 6. Yet the Seizing breui manu a Horse carrying  
the Meal of thirled Corns back from another Mill according to the cus-  
tom of the Place, was not sustained to infer a Spurzil: The Defender de-  
livering the Horse again with the Sack and Meal as sufficient as the  
same was at the Time of the Seizure 22 January & 14 March 1635. McCay  
contra Menzies. And where a Horse carrying Corns away from the thirl  
Mill, was seized with the Corns, the Lord espouzed the Seizer from Restri-  
ction of the Sacks of Corn but found him liable to restore the Price of the  
Horse 1 December 1683 Jam contra Scot. Craig (Edi. 9. 5. Vers. Liquidatum  
autem vidi) is of opinion, that Vassals whose Lands are expressly thirled  
to their Superior Mill, may build Mills upon their own Ground and  
draw Corns ~~from~~ <sup>to</sup> them ~~from~~ <sup>to</sup> Foreigners who are not under any Restraint of  
Thirl: So be they do not abstract their own grain from his Mill, or fail  
to pay the Malture due for it. Seeing the Superior ought not to grudge  
or