

formerly disposed by him cum nullis, upon the Heretors producing a Precept from the common Author (before he was divested of the Lands) ordering Tenants to pay Malture to that Mill, albeit the Precept was granted without Consent of the Chapter. In Regard it was forfeited with long Possession 7 Decemb. 1665 Vetch contra Duncan. And the Thirlage of any Lands as well as Work Lands to the Mills of Churchmuir is more easily instituted than Thirlage to Mills pertaining to other private Subjects. Because the Evidence of the former were lost at the Reformation. Fair Lib. 4. Art. 15. §. 6. But the simple coming to another Mill not of the King's Property, and paying more than Out-Town Maltures, doth not infer a Service for the future 19 March 1635 Mc Lay contra. McKenzie 13 July 1632 E. Norton and Crawford contra Feuders of Muchart. Craig Lib. 8. cause ea que sunt mere facultatis non praescribitur. And such Peigners voluntatis non necessitatis, can no more import a Thirlage than persons who have been in Use beyond the Memory of Man, to travel such a Road to the Town, can be hindered to chuse another Way; or one who hath made use of a certain Taylor for 40 Years, stands obliged to employ him always thereafter. Which Position at the same Time wants not its Exceptions. For Tenants by long Use of their Master's Mill will find themselves astricken, albeit each of them pay not the same Malture and Service. And those thirded Maltures, tho' of Lands not in a Barony will be acquired to singular Successors by Disposition, Apprisal or Apjudication of the Mill, whether it is disposed and adjudged only to the Maltures used and wont or is conveyed without Mention of Maltures; unless either the contrary be expressed, or directly consequential from the Nature of the Right of Conveyance.

as if a Mill be sold for a small Price Within adequate and suitable to the Value of both Mill and Maltures Starr Lib. 9. 4. Again, Thirlage is established and cleared presumptively as aforesaid by paying 40 year dry Malture. Further, albeit a Service of Thirlage is not regularly constituted or proved by long Possession only yet the Quality of a Thirlage constituted by Writ, may be established by Prescription being Lib. 8. And so may the Quality. For good Services mentioned in general in a Bond of Thirlage, and the Condition of coming to a Mill were explained by the Deposition of witness produced to inspect Possession upon the Bond: viz. That no Knave ship should be sought and that Loads should be carried from and to the Mill upon the Mill. George 26 July 1712 Blair contra Edgar. Albeit long Possession simply thirl the King's Barony to his own Mill; it would not suffice to restrict to the King's Mill Possessor of Lands without his Barony 8 January 1662 Stewart contra

contra Feud of Aberlona

Thirlage is acquired expressly by Writ, ^{either} without Infeftment, as by a Bond of Thirlage and Possession, & Use of Payment which makes a complete Right. See Lib. 4. Art. 5. & 8. McKenzie just. Lib. 2. Art. 9. §. 27. So possession upon a Bond of Thirlage obliging the Grantor to grind at a Mill for free Malture and good Services prove against a singular Tenant by voluntary Acts of coming sometimes to the Mill that is next to other Mills when he pleased and never paid any Malture to that Mill in respect the Thirlage was not received by Prescription, but by an express

unquestionable Writ, which any Acts of Possession, the interrupted in

not construed. In Ralfe 26 July 1712 Blair contra Edgar that age

was joined constitute by a Decree in Absence against the Heretors and

Possessors, requiring them to pay their Maltures and one went in a

Fine coming to long Possession without from the Decree and it was

not garrisoned for 40 Years without Necessity to prove 40 Years continu-

ual Possession of third Maltures 7 June 1676 L. Pittore contra the 1st

Thirlage was fine constitutive by an Act of Thirlage in the Regality

Court and immemorial Possession of freehold 11 January 1673

Bairns contra Coalzier. Possession of their Malture from Tenant

for the Space of 40 Years concomitant to an Act of Settlement in their Master's

Baron which subscribed only by his Baillie, bearing the Master's conjoint,

was found sufficient to constitute a Thirlage without any Document in

Writ under the Master's own Hand, Hope May. Druth. Tit. Mill and

Maltures E. Murray contra L. Macmillan. It was also joined establish-

ed by a Decree and long Possession against Tenants enacted to pay by

their Master's Baillie, without Citation or Consent of their Master Hope

Mill contra Falconer Fair Lib. 2. Tit. 7. §. 16. But yet several

Acts of Court by a Baron Baillie with 40 years Possession and De-

crees against Tenants of the Barony were not sufficient to infer a Thir-

lage without the Heretor's express Warrant or Consent 12 July 1621

Douglas contra E. Murray and his Tenants Fair Lib. 9. 17. Thirlage was not

inferred by an Act of a Baron Court bearing on the Tenants and Feuars of the Ba-

rony to have been called and thirded to the Mill: Seeing the Lands were there-

after feued for a certain Fee Duty pro omni anno once without Mention of

Astitution. But the foreaid Act with 40 years uninterrupted Possession was

found to constitute a Thirlage against the Feuars, not accounting clandestine

or partial Restraint, but the going to other Mills with their whole Grist for

one or more Years together, to be Interruption 11 January 1678 L. Palmesina

contra Coalburn. 2. Thirlage is acquired by a Disposition and Infeftment in

a Mill, with the Maltures of the Disposer's Lands. Thus Thirlage was estab-

lished by Infeftment in a Mill with the Maltures of some Lands per express