

=nary's single Escheat 10 March 1631 Stewart contra Lady Sa-  
muelson. & Sheriff-Clerk's single Escheat was found to carry his  
Office Hope Maj. Pratt. Tit. Horning, Kinross contra Drummond.  
The single Escheat of Ministers or Beneficed Persons carries the  
Melioration of their Names. Star. Ibid. The Escheat of an Exe<sup>r</sup>, car-  
ries only his own Interest in the Exe<sup>r</sup>; and not the Share of  
the reluet Children or nextest of Kin, which is liable to be confis-  
cated for their own Rebellion. albeit the Testament were to be exec-  
uted by Decrees at the Exe<sup>r</sup> Instance against the Debtors so  
the deceased 21 Decemb. 1631 Gordon contra L. Drum. The Office of a  
Tutor both by his being denunced Rebel fell to the King, whom may in-  
pose thereof Spotswood Pratt. Tit. Tutors and Curators.

Liferent Escheat, is a Forfeiture of all liferent Rights belong-  
ing to a Rebel or Outlaw, who hath continued Year and Day at the  
Horn unlaced or loosed, for a civil or criminal Cause. This liferent  
Escheat is not only a Penalty for Disobedience to Law, as the single  
Escheat is; but also a legal Consequence of the Condition of the Rebel  
who thro' his continuing Year and Day in Outlawry (like capti-  
vinitio among the Romans) is reputed civiter mortuus civili  
dead, and excluded from the Possession of all his Rights. We have  
other Instances of civil Death in our Law, as of a Protestant turning  
Catholic, whose Estate goes to the next Heir as if he were dead. Tit. 3.  
Sept. 8 & 9. Jan. R. W. Such State is termed civil Death, because it  
cuts off from the civil Life those Persons who fall under it, and ren-  
ders them as it were Slaves to the Punishment which is inflicted on  
them. Haue preoccupat hie caput mortem l. 29. ff. de paenit. In  
popish Countries professed Monks or Nuns are under another  
kind of civil Death, which is voluntary and rendess them inca-  
pable of Marriage or of having any Property in temporal Goods;  
They having at the time of their Profession solemnly dedicate  
themselves and all that they have to the Service of God, and renounce  
the World with all that is in it. Liferten Escheat falls by the Rebe-  
lion or Denunciation: For the Year and Day is given only to the  
Rebel to purge by Relaxation; and if he relax not within that Time  
his Liferten Escheat takes Effect from the Denunciation. Mc Henrie  
Inst. Lib. 2. Tit. 5. § 2. 8. <sup>1630. Anno Regis 1630. Dicitur L. L. forant Escheat.</sup>  
Le, after the Letters are sent a Person at the Horn suspending and relaxing  
Time of the former Rebellion or Outlawry would not be confined with  
the

the latter, to make up the Year and Day allowed him to purge his Re-  
bellion; for all Escheats are odious and unfavourable, Stewart An-  
swers to Dr. Doubts Tit. Liferten Escheat. Some Liferten Rights  
fall to the Superior, whither the King or a Subject; others go to the King  
in corona. Law gives to the Superior not only the Mails and  
Profits of all heritable Rights of Property or Liferten, vested in the  
Rebels Person by Infestation, during all the Days of the Outlaw's  
natural Life. Id. 32. Par. 4. § 5. Because the Superior wants a Vas-  
sal to serve him; but also the Profits of Lands wherein he might  
have been infest as apparent. Heir 3 July 1624. Blair contra. Lethamy  
and E. Gallaway Hope Min. Pratt. Tit. Liferten Escheat 5. 1629. Heron 20  
Inst. Lib. 2. Tit. 5. § 25. if he enter at any Time thereafter. Blair Lib.  
2. Tit. 4. § 62. But if he renounce to be Heir, and die uninterred, there's  
no Reason why his Liferten Escheat should hurt another Heir's Heirs.  
Some heritable Rights which by their Nature require no proffessor  
as Feme and Courtesy belong to the Superior of the Land. Part. His. H. Con-  
ze. His. Because Liferten by Courtly, as well as Vassal, dur-  
ring his Lifetime and over him Fidelity and Reward. But Sir James  
Stewart (and) thinks, that the Liferten Subject of the King having  
the Courtesy or Feme Fiduciary should, fall to the King, and not to the Su-  
perior of whom their Lands are held, seeing Fidelity and Feme are  
constituted by Law without any Holding. I. But consigned to recompence  
a Wadset was found affected with the Wadsetter's Liferten Escheat, and or-  
dained to be re-employed for the Superior during the Rebels Lifetime. 20  
June 1631 Tailifer contra. Maxton and Cunningham. But the Life-  
rent of a Person infest upon a Wadset the gift, both determine and  
cease by Redemption of the Wadset, Stewart Fin. Tit. Ward. Because  
the Wadsetter has not an absolute Right. albeit where a Superior be-  
comes Outlaw, after his Vassal Liferten Escheat had fallen to him, the  
Vassal's Liferten would come under the Superior's single Escheat; seeing  
he had only Right to the Subject thereof while the Vassal lived and not  
during all the Days of his own Lifetime: Yet the Superior's Liferten Escheat  
carries the Liferten Escheat of his Vassal according to the Superior after he  
had been Year and Day at the Horn 26 Feb. 1623. Blakie contra L. Lethamy  
& L. Alvie 8 March 1628. Douglas contra L. Wedderburn 24 July 1632  
Rule contra. Bille. Because then the Superior's Superior is in his Place, and  
has Right to whatever would have belonged to him. Where a Rebel hath  
Lands held of several Superiors, each Superior hath Right to the Mails  
and Dutys of the Lands held by himself. What falls under Liferten Escheat,  
and doth not belong to the Superior, goes to the King as bona vacantia qua-  
nullius