

nal Note upon a Contract of Marriage in Favour of one of one of the Parties, albeit no Witnesses were Subscribing it, and the Contract is not clear that the Witnesses to it were Witnesses also to the marginal Note. In Respect the other Party's Double of the same Contract produced, in itself, bore the very same marginal Note, and the Verity of the same, p. 1 was not denied 20 Feb 1710 Rydal and he himself contra the Plaintiff. In Execution of injunction was sustinued albeit the Name & Designations of the Witnesses therein were only added upon the last page, and are signed by the Notary, and the Writ bore not that the Witnesses were also to the marginal Note 6 July 1710 L. Gray contra Slope. The said marginal Note &c. their own Names and Designations, being no Part of the fact they are required to bear Witness to. 5 of Long misive Letters written upon several Pages, are obliquately, to the last Page to only subscribed, and Writer and Witnessed and signed under the last Page of fitted Accounts consisting of many Pages. And a mutual Agreement being writ upon half a Sheet of Paper, whereof the Articles to be performed by the one Party upon the first Page, were signed by both Parties and Witnesses, without inserting or designing the Writer and Witnesses; and those to be performed by the other Party upon the second Page, at the Foot whereof both Parties obliged themselves to perform the above and within this is and the Writer and Witnesses inserted and signed subscribed again with both the Parties. The Writ was found good and probative, in Respect the Agreement was unius corpus, and the last Page relative to the first 21 November 1710 Hamilton contra. Noir. Where Contracts, Deeds, Designations, Extracts, Transcripts and other Securitys cannot be held in one Sheet of Paper they may be writ either on Sheets ballord together, and the Margins at the joining of the Sheets, signed by the Parties, or by Way of Book in Leaves of Paper, whereof every Page is marked by the Number and signed by the Parties, and the End of the last Sheet which the Witnesses need only to sign in Writs requiring Witnesses, mentions how many Pages it consists of. Act 15. sess. 6. Parl. R. W. A Writ consisting of two Sheets subscribed by the two Parties, and subscribed at the joining of the Sheets only by one of them, was sustained as valid quoad 6th & June 1711 Creditors of Patron of Bankhills competing. But no Act of Parliament or Act of Sedenunt that I know, requires Prescribing of Obligatory Writs consisting of several Sheets as an indispensable Solemnity. Tis true the Statute (d. Act 15.) appoints every Page of Security written Bookwise to be signed as the Margins were

were before, which square is Descriptive to the original inscription.

6^o Many of our Writs are on stamp'd Paper or Parchment, others wise they are in folio, with the Stamp Duty paid, or 5 Pounds Tax paid to the Crown & copy'd the Dist. of L. C. 17. & 18 & 19 & 20 & 21.

A. 1. p. 2. l. 1. o. 9. 2. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 11. 12.

Some Writs of Importanc are as follows &c. &c. extracts of Marriage upon which Marriage made & signed full Holograph pro testibus i July 1662 Robbie contra. Robbie. 1662. m. 7. d. 20. Parl. 6. 6. And a Contract of Marriage h. i. b. i. Writ or in writing is sustained albeit the Writer be not designated and the Date be wanting 18 January 1711 Woolf contra. Sec. or that it be signed only by one Notary for a Lawyer or Notary Publick. 1626 Hooke contra. (int. ill. 1610) Lord & St. John contra. 1627. For marriage 1626 Hooke contra. (int. ill. 1610) Lord & St. John contra. 1627. 1627. Sir George Mervyn contra. That is to say that the Writs are sustained by the creditor only as to the Name and his contracting with a Debtor obliging himself to pay & Sother is not as to execr & his bond. 1627 tripartite contract subscribed by three Parties, is not a Writ of a Writ. 1626 Forcast contra. Steich h. i. b. i. 1627. It is a wittness & Holograph Writs that is not written with the printer's line (for the credit bonds of all and papers of write) are sustained by the creditor. Writs, if it not being so easie to counterfeit truly the Body of a Writ and the Writs subscription, as to forge three Subscriptions. Thus a Writ - Writ & Writ & Writ.

Writ without further Mention of Writs was not good, in respect to whom it was granted, proving the same to be no ingrav'd 12 June 1711. Contra for contra Writs are accounted holograph where large Summes are writ with the Parties Hand, tho' not the whole Writ. 1626. 1627. 1628. So a Writ having only the Substantials fit up with the printer's own hand with one Witness, was sustained amongst Merchants 23 January 1715. France contra. Nolleck. And printed Bonds granted to an Incorporation Society or publick Office, wherein the Names and Designations of Debtor Creditor and Witnesses, the Sumes and Date are written are good, tho' the Upholders of the same Substantials be not named and designed, if the Writte & Part of the Bonds is holograph all written by the Debtor 25 January 1710. Fories of Dailege contra. Wardice. Nay, a holograph Writing is sustained obligator and probative in some Cases, tho' it be not subscribed as a holograph Writs in Receipt Books, or in an authentic Papers. Blair said, where writing is not probative, particular Payments marked upon the Foot of an accept'd Bill of Exchange, and a Balance stated as due in Figures, offered to be proved to be the due of the Creditor's Hand-Writ, was sustained in a Process at the instance of his Heir to affizie the Acceptor, except as to the said Balance. In Respect he offered to prove by Witnesses,