

term'd a Bonation or Gift. The Person who grants it is called the Donor
and he to whom it is made, the Donee or Donatary. Some Gifts are made
in the Lifetime of the Donor, others are not of force till after his Death.
Where a gift takes Effect in the Life of the Donor, he is stript of
what he gives away, and his Donee becomes Master of it l. i. pr. ff. de donat. l. 6. f. 1.
not be revoked l. 5. b. t. 6. C. de rev. don. For a Donation which does
not divest the Donor of the things given, and leaves him at Liberty to revoke
no Force, that is to say, it is not properly speaking a Donation, that
take Place in the Lifetime of the Donor. Upon this Principle the common
Rule, bans et retinens non it don; to give me to return avails nothing, or
for nothing. The Meaning of which is that if the Donor keeps what he gives
he is not divest himself and is not give. See Lord Civiles &c. Tom. i. Part 1.
L. i. Tit. io. pr. A Gift of this Kind which takes Effect in the Lifetime of
the Donor is perfected, either with or without Writ, by the Consent of
Donor or Givee, and the Acceptance of the Donee or Donatary. For if the
Donee does not accept it, the Donor is not divested of the Thing which
gives, and his Right remains still with him l. 19. s. 2. ff. de donat. l. 6. f. 4. de
req. juri. l. 10. ff. de donat. l. ult. C. de rev. don. If the Donee is incapable of
accepting, as if it be a Child that cannot speak, nor express any Desire of having
the Thing given; the Acceptance must be made by a Person that is capable
accepting for him, such as his Father, his Tutor l. 26. C. de donat. See Lord Civiles
Tom. i. Part 1. L. i. Tit. io. Sect. i. s. 3. A Gift not reduced in Writ, must
be proved by the Donor's oath. A Donation not repudiated, will be held
accepted, so as if a Creditor affect it, the Donatary cannot thereafter repudiate
the same; Stewart Answers to violet Doubts Tit. don. non accept. A gift
which takes Effect during the Life of the Donor, is either proper or impo-
-per.

A proper Donation, is one's bestowing something upon another gratis
without any other Motive than that the Donor may exercise an Act of Liber-
ality. Such a Donation being often the Mean of Corruption and Effect of
Frivolity, proper Donations between Man and Wife may be reduced by the
Grantor, vid. supra pag. 258 & seqq. And all Liberality of this Nature is so little fa-
voured in Law, that none are presumed to gift, or rei sua facturam facere
c. 25. f. de probat. But strict Proof is required to shew the intention of the
Giver, for less is a Debtor presumed to gift while he is Debtor: For any pos-
terior Obligation granted by him in favour of his Creditor, without an one
 causa, is interpreted to be in Security or Satisfaction of the former pro tanto
 16. Novemb. 1708 Lord-justice Clerk and his Lady contra Hamilton of Bon-
gour 4 July 1712 inter eadem 13. Novemb. 1624 Wallace contra Wallace of
Elphie. 17 Feb. 1632 Kinneord contra Yeaman 27 Novemb. 1635 Robert
son contra her Father's Heir 2 Feb. 1688 Selkirk contra Inglis
Novemb. 1608 Children of Law contra Liddel. Yea before the Act of

311

Parliament 1696. Act 25. Jeff. 6. Par. K. W. i. A Trust was rather
presumed than a gift: any Right taken by one in another's name before
then reckoned a Trust so as the Acquirer might have forced the other, if he
was not trustee to him to deliver his gift. Stair Lib. Tit. D. §. 2. Ver. That
which is done, the trust be no provable only by Writ or Statute of the trustee,
d. Act. 25. I. 7. Art. 20, for fear of a pretence to gift, but a witness
cannot take any gift from his Master as it is for Examination,
or good-few witness from H. 7. & 2. James. 1. 157. Vested in the L. Harry
9 Feb. 1676 Ronaldson contra Dryden. And if a Father be allowed to
take gifts from his Master freely, the Law against giving us & as if he is
appointed. for indent. Doctor's, &c. &c. as first payment, would gift
things to their Children or leave to pay. But if a Master
be not by Way of Contract, whereby the Master might get a due Interest
for Tax. Money, so long as the Master is not in a Contract to pay, but
Master Presumption take no place in some Cases. And 17. 1. 2. that it is un-
lawful his children, is presumed to be ex parte without any kind of agreement
or made them Debtor to him for it. When Master presumes him self out, in
the case of aliments by a master; but also when a Mother aliments her
children, but have no considerable Estate or Stock or little or no means capable
to their Quality 2 Feb. 1672 Cap. Guthrie contra L. McHarston and his
Brother, or when the grandfather or grandaughter entertain their grandchildren
even in the Father's Lifetime, without action with the Father for his
Aliment, or without requiring him to take them home. 21 July 1675 L. Lud-
gairon contra L. Right. 11 June 1680 Gordon contra Leslie. But their re-
quiring the Father to take home the Children takes off the presumption
of gratis Entertainment. And where a Sister entertains her furious
Brother for 14 Years without any Agreement, she was not presumed to have
done so out of natural affection, but found to have Action for payment of
a Modification upon that Account against the Execr. of her Mother in so
far as she was匡ata by not keeping him in her own Family, albeit the
Execr. had never been required to receive the furious Person into her Fa-
mily 23 July 1678 Thomson contra Wilkie. 2. Aliment furnished to any Person
of Discretion after Pupillarity without Agreement, or signifying to the Party to
remove or pay for it, is presumed to be given gratis whatever Means he have
2 Feb. 1672 Cap. Guthrie contra L. McHarston and his Brother 6 June 1676
Rig contra Rig observed by Kirleton. Stair Lib. 1. Tit. 45. §. 17 & 18. If
the Furnisher was not in Use to get Money for Entertainment or Provisions,
For if he was in Use to sell Provisions or board Persons for Money Entertain-
ment given by him to any Person the without Agreement, will be a Ground
of Action for Payment Stair Lib. 1. Tit. D. §. 2. Ver. In all Cases. But Aliment
furnished to one under Pupillarity, or to Peots or to other weak persons
incapable