

Powers of a Tutor - They can also charge a debt - & so forth.
 3^o They may borrow money [4] They may pay without consideration
 5^o They may buy up a bill. A minor with consent of his curators
 for less Days than were paid formerly, seeing the former Rent could not be got
 The Lords refused the desire of the Petition 23 June 1675 Tutor of L. Ryston
 Daughter. Applicants observed by Dirleton. Because upon such Protests the
 spous might be wronged by their Tutors Authority and the Lord ought not to
 determine concerning the Estates of private Men, except by way of the
 Cess wherein all having Interest may be heard.

2^o By the civil Law, a Minor's Debtor could not safely pay to his Tutor
 without the instance of a judge authorizing the same & fin. inst. ym. b
 amin. fact & non. But with the discharge of a Tutor or of a Minor with
 Consent of his Curators, whether of principal sum or of Annuities, doth
 in all Events secure the Debtor paying to him without the Authority of
 Judge interposed Balfour lit. Tutors 20 July 1540. And a Tutor Nominal
 whom the Testament impowered to uplift the Pupils Annulements, was not
 excluded from uplifting the principal sum January 1663 Lyon contra
 Farquhar Dec. 131 observed by Gilmore. But a Bond of Provision in favor
 of a Daughter being so qualified that she should not assign gratuictously and
 dying without Heirs of her Body or without disposing for onerous Causes
 should return to the Grantors Heir, the Creditor and her Curator ad interim
 purficing a Constitution of the Debt against the Heir, was found intitled to
 to uplift in her Minority only the Annulements, and not the principal sum
 unless for an onerous cause 24 January 1706 Shaw contra Schaw 25 February
 1663 Aikenhead contra Aikenhead. One being purficed for Payment of a
 Debt as owing by him to the Pursuer's Father per Ficket having deponed
 (Referente Adversario) that he paid the Money to the Pursuer's Tutor, who
 thereupon delivered him up the Ficket. The Lord found that the Ficket being
 in the Defender's hand, the Bath proved the payment and retiring of the Fic-
 ket and therefore apolized him 13 January 1711 Forrester contra Forrester.
 Albeit it was alleged that the Debtors of Minors should pay ^{to the Tutor} only upon getting
 a Discharge, which is necessary to constitute a charge against the Tutors for
 what they uplift.

3^o Tutors or Curators may carry on a Work left to the Minor which cannot be
 otherwise disposed of Blair lib. i. tit. 6. §. 10. and may borrow Money for extir-
 acting his Affairs Voit Comun. ad tit. ff. de minor. n. 23. They may employ the Mi-
 nor Money at Interest in good Hand, or upon Land Security. They may pay
 the Pupils debts willingly without sentence of a judge for their Warrant,
 in les a competent Defense was known to them at the time of payment 9
 July 1667 Kevin contra Boyd. And may relieve and clear his Estates of his
 son Blair ibid. §. 36. As of a Lifement prudently bought in Appearance,
 tho the Minor comes to be a Lessor in the Event, where the Lifement doth
 not long survive the Bargain January 1691 Fletcher of Morlady
 contra Murray of Black Barony and others. A Minor Lady was found enabled
 with Consent of her Curators to provide her Husband a competent Lifement,

and to transact with him for his Courts, &c. tho a working out with 7.7
 February 1683 E. Liven contra Montgomerie.
 A^o Tutors or Curators may name Factors to act in their Room over them; for
 whose Management they will or unsworably ch. l. 6. process. for they are not
 bound to be Factors or Servants Blair ibid. §. 36. Where they think fit it to give
 themselves the trouble & service the Minor that way, then they ought to constitute Fac-
 tors, and Curators should put the Minor to it with their Consent. Since then, most al-
 low them not to suffer the Minor to uplift their own Rights & C. may least they
 suspend and squander away their substance. A Tutor of the Minor with Consent of
 his Curator may give a reasonable Salary to such a Factor, tho the Office of the
 Tutor or Curator be commonly gratuitous. But a Tutor cannot set a Factor, or take
 Money for his granting the same, which would be p. fine to be paid out of the
 Pupils Effects penalt. Feb. 1639. Musket contra Dog
 Factors or Curators may lay out all Expences that are necessary incident or needful
 for the Affairs of the Minor, according to the Quality of his Estate, the Nature of
 the Affairs, and the Circumstances may require E. S. C. o. comis. lit. But the
 Expences cannot exceed the Revenue or Income, unless it be in Cases of great
 Necessity, for the good of the Minor l. 3. pr. 7. & c. contrat. tit. art. 2. art. 2.
 Louis Civiles lib. tom. i. part. i. liv. 2. tit. 1. sec. 2. art. 3. They may always make
 the Minor, better, may accept in their Name gifts that will not be burdensome
 to the Minor, may transact in such Manner, that the Minor if he be a Creditor
 may preferve his Debt, and if he be a Debtor may find his account, either in the
 diminution of the Debt, or in the entire payment Let Louis Civiles lib. ibid. art. 5.
 (2) A Curator may, after expiring of the Tutor, enter into the Minor's
 Lands possessed by the Tutor, and meddle with the growing Corn sown by him
 without being liable to an Ejection or Spoliation 14 July 1610 Brule contra Lin-
 ster. And, tho the Authority of the Court of Session be necessary to Tutor's dis-
 nation of his Pupils Lands, a Minor may, with Consent of his Curators
 effectually sell his Lands, without the Warrant of a Judge 13 December 1666
 Thompson contra Stevenson 2 Feb. 1630 Flamerton contra Sharp and others.
 5^o By the civil Law, one of several Tutors or Curators may act or authorize the
 like Minor's Deed l. 4. f. de aut. & concil. tit. l. 3. C. de author. presc. But by our
 Custom where there are several Tutors or Curators named jointly, all must con-
 cur in the Management to make it subsist; or if so many be appointed a Quo-
 rum, that whole Quorum must act but one of three Tutors or Curators was auth-
 orized to purfice the rest, for obliging them to accept or renounce, tho they were
 all named jointly D. March 1628 Marr and Thompson contra Flinchard, and
 one of several Tutors named with a Quorum, who accepted the Office was
 found sufficient to act, seeing the rest refused to accept it Feb. 1676 Turnbull
 contra Ruthersford. Because it is presumed to be the Will of the deceased to
 intrust any one of the Tutors named by him, rather than a Tutor of law or
 Justice. Where Tutors or Curators are named without the word jointly, or any
 Quorum express, the major part must concur. In which Cases if fewer
 should