

Imprint in
margin

given by them is in effect given by his collators, from whom their Authority is derived; & the
Lords of privy council might upon the jennals submit their bills, secured their Liberties,
without an express Commission from the King; so might his soldiers by indulging them.
Quarter; the latter having as much power in the fields, as the former at the Criminal Court.
of the difference between just and unjust War is, that men, bound in the former then
without Quarter given cannot be killed: whereas captives in an unjust war are not
safe unless they have Quarter; the injustice of the War on the part of the side, might indeed
have been a ground to refuse them Quarter; But the publick Faith by Quarter
given ought to be observed. 3^o Remission of a crime which is the sole Act of the Criminal,
both basely differ from the granting of Quarter by way of Transaction or Impeachment;
in contemplation where persons supposing their Liberties secured come peaceably under the
Grants power: Or as the Kings officers might have been killed by the jennals had they stood
out, and not got the benefit of Quarter. And if all the Effect of Quarter were only to remit
the sentence to a publick Trial for his life, none would ever accept of it, but persons
up in arms would continually despoile and exclaimable. 4^o Lawyers are clear that
the privilege of Quarter should be offered imboldly even to rebels subjects Grot. Lib. 3. cap.
2. p. lib. 3 cap. 9. And so it was done to those engaged in the civil wars of France & Holland;
and also to such as were acte in our Insurgencies during Cromwells usurpation. you
such a defense of Rebels having surrendered upon the Earth of Quarter given to them,
was repelled, albeit the General who gave the Quarter was expressly empowered by his
Commission to give Quarter, and to indemnify such as surrendered themselves to Nobbys
1649 James Shirley Brothers. And where the King with Advice of his privy Council issued
forth a proclamation promising Indemnity to Rebels who were up in arms against the
Government upon their laying down their Arms, in case of these Rebels, who had been taken
prisoners before his majesties Forces, and were detained Captives at the time of the
proclamation, were not allowed the benefit thereof: In respect their Arms having been
taken from them at their becoming prisoners, they had now to lay down. It is plain
for them that they ought not to be in a worse case if they had at the time been actually in Arms in
the Field of battle with them; December 1665 John Shire and John Robt. died for p. 59.
Innocent Hostages ought not to be put to death; the such Captives as have been notorious
offenders may be capitally punished. H. Grot. Lib. 3 cap. 1. The old Law of Nations, which
allows to most slaves of Captives taken in War, obtains now only between Christians and
4^o Mahometans; the latter forcing the other to such Methods against Christians or
by a barbarous usage of Christians.

Rights annexed to persons over other persons are not acquired to an Enemy by those per-
sons in whom such Rights were lodged falling into the Enemis power. Thus a prince's
Kingdom is not acquired to those who make him prisoner of war: And the taking a
Husband or Father, doth not give the Enemis power over the wife or children. Nor are
things wherof the Right belongs to a person, acquired to his Conqueror. But the Right
therof falleth to other persons of the same Commonwealth, as to him who would have
been the Captives of Natural Law, had he died a natural death, or in default of that to
the publick, sufficient. Lib. 3. cap. 52.

Nostre contra Naturam Spolias sum quon honorum est necare. It is therefore no
wonder that the Right of War doth allow to wast an Enemis Lands and Houses with
fire & sword, and spoil him of all his Goods: Nor are things sacred and Religious as
well as household Monuments of Dead exempted from such outrages of war morally by the Law of
Nature. Nations fighting affid the Consideration of other Duties. Quia cura loca sunt ab hostibus
omnia defuncta sacra; Et populi haec non sunt. Therefore no
man may take away the Stones and cover them to what use he pleasse, so long as no violence is
offered to the Bodies of the Dead. H. Grot. Lib. 3. But who ought not to wast things profidly
to us and out of the Enemis power that they can be of no use to him, polyphemus (Lib. 5) thought
it an act of the highest meanness to destroy those things, which being destroyed weaken'd not
the Enemis, nor brought any Advantage to those that destroyed them; such as Churches,
Statues, Schools and Religious Images. And the things dedicated to sacred Dutes, and things
religious be exposed to the Will of the Conqueror; yet the Resistance was owing to the former,
and humanity to the latter, plead strongly for their preservation. H. Grot. Lib. 3 cap. 12. A
civil Empire or Right of Sovereignty may be acquired by war. H. Grot. Lib. 3 cap. 13. It is
clear that the Conqueror should leave the conquered possessor of his Kingdom, not only humane

47
Sometimes good policy, H. Grot. Lib. 3 cap. 1. § 7.
Nothing in time of war, is to be taken away from the Enemis, not concerned in the War, except in extreme
necessity, and when the Right owner hath not the like necessary for it. Nor then in such a case ought
more to be taken than present necessity requires, and the full value must be paid for what is taken.
H. Grot. Lib. 3. cap. 17. § 1. 2. And then, it is the Duty of those not concerned in the War to do nothing where
by he that commits an ill cause may be strengthen'd or that makes in a god wife may be hindred,
and where the cause is doubtful, to shew themselves equally intent to both parties, minister by
suffering them to pass and repass thro' their country, or by not restraining either within safety or
fright. The Right of Neutrality is in such sort to be kept, as not to hurt the enemy helping the
other, but to let still as well as to both, and perform the common duties of humanity to
other, H. Grot. lib. 3. Great contests always rise up about what must be lawfully done to those
who are not at least willing to be friend to the Enemy, and yet supply one's self with
things as they need. Which difficultly H. Grot. in his Refutation (Ibid. cap. 1. § 3.) 1^o It is
persons pretending to Neutrality Supply the Enemy with things that are not used, fair in War,
as Arms and Ammunition, they are to be esteemed but 1^o stated as enemies. 2^o If they
furnish the enemy with things no ways sufficient to the war, but only for pleasure,
3^o They cannot be quarrelled. 3^o Since the enemy is furnished with things useful both
peace and war, as Money, victualls, Apparatus, Ships and Materials for shipping, Roffinding
no certain determination from the Law of Nations what ought to be done to the Neutral in
such a case. 4^o It may be thus from the Law of Nature. If one of the parties cannot defend
himself, unless he intercept their things at doubtful use which is fit to be furnish'd, & yet fully
enables him to take them upon condition of Restitution, unless there be sufficient cause to the
contrary. But then where a pretended Neutral feeds such supplies to abetting the enemy in his unjust
war, as when Alony is said to his publick use or supplies the enemy with things of pecuniary
use, to hinder him to surrender a Town or fort besieged closely, as Victualls and provisions: such
goods may not only be seized, but also it is a Ground to make War upon the tender. However
those distinctions, who are not incautiously mad, are not so strictly to be observed as to deny
any place for exception. The preservation of humane society seems to require freedom of
Commerce, neither too narrow nor too much enlarged. whence it is that neutrals are to be
discharged from trading with the enemy in some kind of goods which may add courage and
strength to him, commonly called Counters and Goods.

According to Grotius (Lib. 3 cap. 53.) and other Lawyers (After Gentil. Angelus, Repta and
Salycetus) Things are said to be taken in War, or to become the Takers, when they are so detained
from us, that we are deprived of any probable Hope to recover them, and are no longer
able to pursue them: Which in things movable is presumed as soon as they are carried into
the enemies Garrison, arg. L. 5 § 1 ff. 9 cap. 6. & garrison ships and other goods are carried
into the enemies Harbours or to the place where their whole Navy rides, being then there
remaining no hope of recovery others more probably hold that goods thus taken become the
takers immediately after the seizure, arg. L. 5. § 1 ff. 9 cap. 6. 9. 12 Inst. de Reg. lib.
by a later Law of the German Nations, Some Time, as a civil Day, is required to transfer the
property, H. Grot. lib. Marquard. De Jure Moral. Ziegler observes that the States of the united
provinces did by a publick Edict in the year 1632 adjudge 13. ff. 9 of a ship and cargo taken
by the enemy to his recoverer, before it was carried intra praefixa Hostium, without respect
to the time the same had been in the Enemis power. This obtains also to movable Goods band
Moulon, Comm. in Grot. Lib. 3. cap. 6. § 3. comprehending ships. Goods are not said to be taken
as soon as the enemy sets down upon them, but only when so immured or buried with walls, posts,
Ramparts and such like illuminments, that without driveling away the enemy that holds them, the former
owning can have no probable Access to them. Who are ruling placing outside the wood fortify a
town or Hostibus, from driveling the enemy to approach to it, th Grot. Lib. 3. cap. 5. 4. War can
transfer no Right to us by seizure in any thing not belonging to the enemy, the found in his
Camp or Garrison. And the Goods found in the Ships of our enemies are presumed to be theirs
this presumption is dash'd and taken off by pregnant contrary Evidence. Neither are the ships
of our Confederates forfeited, the loaded with Goods belonging to the enemy, H. Grot. Lib. 3 cap.
5. 6. But if the enemy had any Interest in those things found with them, as if they were
taken by him as a pledge for some Debt, or retained for the performance of some Contract,